Tobacco in Australia Facts & Issues

Relevant news and research

12.9 Labelling of tobacco products in Australia

Last updated May 2024

Research:	1
12.9 Labelling of tobacco products in Australia	
12.9.1 Labelling of tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields of Australian cigarettes in the past.	
12.9.2 Current labelling requirements for tobacco products in Australia	
News:	
12.9 Labelling of tobacco products in Australia	
12.9.1 Labelling of tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields of Australian cigarettes in the past.	
12.9.2 Current labelling requirements for tobacco products in Australia	8

Research:

12.9 Labelling of tobacco products in Australia

Crosbie, E, Erinoso, O, Perez, S, & Sebrie, EM. (2022). Moving in the right direction: tobacco packaging and labeling in the Americas. *Rev Panam Salud Publica, 46*, e196.Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36519066

O'Brien, EK, Ruybal, AL, Koblitz, AR, & Johnson, SE. (2022). The effect of cigarette modified risk claims and brand on perceived risk, product appeal, and use intentions. *PLoS One, 17*(10), e0274097. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36190944

Goldstein AO, Jarman KL, Kowitt SD, Queen TL, Kim KS, et al. Effect of cigarette constituent messages with engagement text on intention to quit smoking among adults who smoke cigarettes: A

randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open, 2021; 4(2):e210045. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33625509

Gratale SK, Maloney EK, Sangalang A, and Cappella JN. Influence of Natural American Spirit advertising on current and former smokers' perceptions and intentions. Tobacco Control, 2018; 27(5):498-504. Available from:

https://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/tobaccocontrol/27/5/498.full.pdf

Crosbie E, Sosa P, and Glantz SA. Defending strong tobacco packaging and labelling regulations in Uruguay: Transnational tobacco control network versus Philip Morris international. Tobacco Control, 2018; 27(2):185-94. Available from:

http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/tobaccocontrol/27/2/185.full.pdf

Salloum R, Louviere J, Getz K, Islam F, Anshari D, et al. Evaluation of strategies to communicate harmful and potentially harmful constituent (HPHC) information through cigarette package inserts: A discrete choice experiment. Tobacco Control, 2017. Available from:

http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/early/2017/07/13/tobaccocontrol-2016-053579?papetoc=

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28705893

Epperson AE, Henriksen L, and Prochaska JJ. Natural American Spirit brand marketing casts health halo around smoking. American Journal of Public Health, 2017; 107(5):668-70. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28398789

Pearson J, Richardson A, Feirman S, Villanti A, Cantrell J, et al. American spirit pack descriptors and perceptions of harm: A crowdsourced comparison of modified packs. Nicotine & Tobacco Research 2016. Available from: http://ntr.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2016/04/29/ntr.ntw097

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27170707

Kotnowski K, Fong GT, Gallopel-Morvan K, Islam T, and Hammond D. The impact of cigarette packaging design among young females in Canada: Findings from a discrete choice experiment. Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 2016; 18(5):1348-56. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntv114

Hoek J, Gendall P, Eckert C, and Louviere J. Dissuasive cigarette sticks: The next step in standardised ('plain') packaging? Tobacco Control, 2016; 25(6):699-705. Available from: https://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/tobaccocontrol/25/6/699.full.pdf

Hoek J, Gendall P, Eckert C, Kemper J, and Louviere J. Effects of brand variants on smokers' choice behaviours and risk perceptions. Tobacco Control, 2016; 25(2):160-5. Available from: https://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/tobaccocontrol/25/2/160.full.pdf

Bayly M, Freeman B, and Scollo M. Trends in products and packaging, in Tobacco in Australia: Facts and issues. Scollo M and Winstanley M, Editors. Melbourne: Cancer Council Victoria; 2016. p 10.8 Available from: http://www.tobaccoinaustralia.org.au/chapter-10-tobacco-industry/10-8-trends-in-products-and-packaging

Balmford J, Borland R, and Yong H-H. Impact of the introduction of standardised packaging on smokers' brand awareness and identification in Australia. Drug and Alcohol Review, 2016; 35(1):102-9. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/dar.12331

Scollo M, Zacher M, Coomber K, Bayly M, and Wakefield M. Changes in use of types of tobacco products by pack sizes and price segments, prices paid and consumption following the introduction of plain packaging in Australia. Tobacco Control, 2015; 24(Suppl 2):ii66-ii75. Available from: https://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/tobaccocontrol/24/Suppl 2/ii66.full.pdf

Hoek J and Robertson C. How do young adult female smokers interpret dissuasive cigarette sticks?: A qualitative analysis. Journal of Social Marketing, 2015; 5(1):21-39. Available from: https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/JSOCM-01-2014-0003

Babineau K and Clancy L. Young people's perceptions of tobacco packaging: A comparison of EU Tobacco products directive & ireland's standardisation of Tobacco Act. BMJ Open, 2015; 5(6):e007352. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26048206

Agaku IT, Omaduvie UT, Filippidis FT, and Vardavas CI. Cigarette design and marketing features are associated with increased smoking susceptibility and perception of reduced harm among smokers in 27 EU countries. Tobacco Control, 2015; 24(e4):e233. Available from: http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/24/e4/e233.abstract

No authors listed. Administrative supplements for tobacco regulatory research on the public display of harmful and potentially harmful constituents (HPHC) information National Institutes of Health, 2014. Available from: http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PA-15-046.html.

Murphy M. Cigarette descriptor research: Report of qualitative research. Prepared for centre for behavioural research in cancer, cancer council victoria. Cancer Council Victoria, Australia 2014.

Borland R and Savvas S. The effects of variant descriptors on the potential effectiveness of plain packaging. Tobacco Control, 2014; 23(1):58-63.

Lee W-cJ, Shimizu M, Kniffin KM, and Wansink B. You taste what you see: Do organic labels bias taste perceptions? Food Quality and Preference, 2013; 29(1):33-9.

Hoek J, Gendall P, Gifford H, Pirikahu G, McCool J, et al. Tobacco branding, plain packaging, pictorial warnings, and symbolic consumption. Qual Health Res, 2012; 22(5):630-9.

Ford A. The packaging of tobacco products. Stirling: Centre for Tobacco Control Research, University of Stirling 2012. Available from:

http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/prod_consump/groups/cr_common/@nre/@new/@pre/documents/generalcontent/cr_086687.pdf.

Cook J and Lewandowsky S. The debunking handbook. St. Lucia, Australia: University of Queensland, 2011. Available from: http://sks.to/debunk.

Wright AJ, Whitwell SCL, Takeichi C, Hankins M, and Marteau TM. The impact of numeracy on reactions to different graphic risk presentation formats: An experimental analogue study. British

Journal of Health Psychology, 2009; 14(1):107-25. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1348/135910708X304432

Simpson D. Hong kong, China: Marlboro pack sleeves. Tobacco Control, 2006; 15(1):6. Available from: http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/cgi/content/extract/15/1/6

Willemsen MC. The altered view of tobacco products: The effects of the new health warnings on smokers. The Hague, The Netherlands: DEFACTO for a smoke-free future, 2002.

Wilkenfeld J, Henningfield J, Slade J, Burns D, and Pinney J. It's time for a change: Cigarette smokers deserve meaningful information about their cigarettes. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2000; 92(2):90–2. Available from: http://jnci.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/content/full/92/2/90

White W, Bolling K, Owen L, and McNeil A. Cigarette labelling: What information do consumers in england want? in Promoting a Future Without Tobacco: 11th World Conference on Tobacco OR Health. Chicago. 2000.

McBride CM, Halabi S, Bepler G, Lyna P, McIntyre L, et al. Maximizing the motivational impact of feedback of lung cancer susceptibility on smokers' desire to quit. Journal of Health Communication, 2000; 5(3):229-41. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730050131406

enningfield J, Kozolowski LT, and NL B. A proposal to develop meaningful labeling for cigarettes. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1994; 272(4):312–4. Available from: http://jama.ama-assn.org/cgi/content/citation/272/4/312

12.9.1 Labelling of tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields of Australian cigarettes in the past

lacobelli M, Cho J, Welding K, Smith K, and Cohen JE. Machine-assessed tar yield marketing on cigarette packages from two cities in south korea. Tobacco induced diseases Tob Induc Dis, 2021; 19:54. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34220410

Wang SQ, Wang WJ, Wu CC, Bao LJ, Yu Y, et al. Low tar level does not reduce human exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in environmental Tobacco smoke. Environmental Science & Technology, 2020. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31859494

Cho YJ, Thrasher JF, Swayampakala K, Lipkus I, Hammond D, et al. Does adding information on toxic constituents to cigarette pack warnings increase smokers' perceptions about the health risks of smoking? A longitudinal study in Australia, Canada, Mexico, and the United States. Health Education and Behavior, 2018; 45(1):32-42. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28715260

Alpert HR, Carpenter D, and Connolly GN. Tobacco industry response to a ban on lights descriptors on cigarette packaging and population outcomes. Tobacco Control, 2018; 27(4):390-8. Available from: http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/tobaccocontrol/27/4/390.full.pdf

No authors listed. Listing of ingredients in Tobacco products. US 2017. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsGuidance/ucm191982.htm?utm_s <a href="https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsGuidance/ucm191982.htm?utm_s <a href="https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsguidance/ucm191982.htm] https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsguidance/ucm191982.htm] https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsguidance/ucm191982.htm] https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsguidance/ucm191982.htm] https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsguidance/ucm191982.htm] https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsguidance/ucm191982.htm] https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RulesRegulationsguidance/ucm2982.htm] https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoPr

Morgan JC, Byron MJ, Baig SA, Stepanov I, and Brewer NT. How people think about the chemicals in cigarette smoke: A systematic review. J Behav Med, 2017:1-12. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10865-017-9823-5

DeAtley T, Bianco E, Welding K, and Cohen J. Compliance with uruguay's single presentation requirement. Tobacco Control, 2017. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28416710

Bernat JK, Ferrer RA, Margolis KA, and Blake KD. US adult tobacco users' absolute harm perceptions of traditional and alternative tobacco products, information-seeking behaviors, and (mis)beliefs about chemicals in tobacco products. Addictive Behaviors, 2017; 71:38-45. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28259026

Yong HH, Borland R, Cummings KM, Lindblom EN, Li L, et al. US smokers' beliefs, experiences and perceptions of different cigarette variants before and after the fsptca ban on misleading descriptors such as 'light', 'mild', or 'low'. Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 2016. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27083215

Lian TY. Southeast asia Tobacco control alliance implementation of WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control Article 11 in asean countries Southeast Asia Tobacco Control Alliance Thailand 2016. Available from:

http://seatca.org/dmdocuments/SEATCA%20Tobacco%20Packaging%20and%20Labelling%20Index_19%20May_Final.pdf.

Agnew-Heard KA, Lancaster VA, Bravo R, Watson CH, Walters MJ, et al. Multivariate statistical analysis of cigarette design features influence on ISO tnco yields. Chemical Research in Toxicology, 2016. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27222918

Sharma P, Murthy P, and Shivhare P. Nicotine quantity and packaging disclosure in smoked and smokeless tobacco products in India. Indian J Pharmacol, 2015; 47(4):440-3. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26288479

Green AC, Fong GT, Borland R, Quah AC, Seo HG, et al. The importance of the belief that "light" cigarettes are smoother in misperceptions of the harmfulness of "light" cigarettes in the republic of korea: A nationally representative cohort study. BMC Public Health, 2015; 15(1):1108. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26547549

Czoli CaH, D. Cigarette packaging: Youth perceptions of 'natural' cigarettes, filter references, and contraband tobacco. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2014; 54(1):33-9.

Cohen JE, Yang J, and Donaldson EA. Impact of the removal of light and mild descriptors from cigarette packages in ontario, Canada: Switching to "light replacement" brand variants. Preventive Medicine, 2014; 69C:120-5. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25224153

O'Connor RJ, Caruso RV, Borland R, Cummings KM, Bansal-Travers M, et al. Relationship of cigarette-related perceptions to cigarette design features: Findings from the 2009 itc U.S. Survey. Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 2013; 15(11):1943-7. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23943847

Greenland SJ. Cigarette brand variant portfolio strategy and the use of colour in a darkening market. Tobacco Control, 2013. Available from:

http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/early/2013/08/29/tobaccocontrol-2013-051055.abstract

Yong HH, Borland R, Cummings KM, Hammond D, O'Connor RJ, et al. Impact of the removal of misleading terms on cigarette pack on smokers' beliefs about 'light/mild' cigarettes: Cross-country comparisons. Addiction, 2011; 106(12):2204-13. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21658140

Mutti S, Hammond D, Borland R, Cummings MK, O'Connor RJ, et al. Beyond light and mild: Cigarette brand descriptors and perceptions of risk in the International Tobacco Control (itc) four country survey. Addiction, 2011; 106(6):1166-75. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03402.x

King B, Borland R, Abdul-Salaam S, Polzin G, Ashley D, et al. Divergence between strength indicators in packaging and cigarette engineering: A case study of Marlboro varieties in Australia and the USA. Tobacco Control, 2010; 19(5):398-402. Available from:

http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/19/5/398.abstract

Gallopel-Morvan K, Moodie C, Hammond D, Eker F, Beguinot E, et al. Consumer understanding of cigarette emission labelling. European Journal of Public Health, 2010; [Epub ahead of print]. Available from:

http://eurpub.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/content/full/ckq087v1?view=long&pmid=20601380

Wilson N, Weerasekera D, Peace J, Edwards R, Thomson G, et al. Misperceptions of "light" cigarettes abound: National survey data. BMC Public Health, 2009; 9(1):126. Available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1471-2458-9-126.pdf

Tindle HA, Shiffman S, Hartman AM, and Bost JE. Switching to "lighter" cigarettes and quitting smoking. Tobacco Control, 2009; 18(6):485-90. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19892697

Hammond D and Parkinson C. The impact of cigarette package design on perceptions of risk. J Public Health (Oxf), 2009; 31(3):345-53. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19636066

Norton K, Wilkins K, O'Connor R, Wilson N, Edwards R, et al. Properties of "light" cigarettes sold in New Zealand. New Zealand Medical Journal, 2008; 121(1281):107–10. Available from: http://www.unboundmedicine.com/medline/ebm/record/18797495/full_citation/Properties_of_%2 2light%22 cigarettes sold in New Zealand

Borland R, Fong GT, Yong HH, Cummings KM, Hammond D, et al. What happened to smokers' beliefs about light cigarettes when "light/mild" brand descriptors were banned in the UK? Findings from the International Tobacco Control (itc) four country survey. Tobacco Control, 2008; 17(4):256-62. Available from: http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/cgi/content/abstract/17/4/256

King B and Borland R. What was "light" and "mild" is now "smooth" and "fine": New labelling of Australian cigarettes. Tobacco Control, 2005; 14(3):214-5. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15923475

Waa A, Gillespie J, and Afzal R. Influence on smoking behaviour and perceptions of cigarette packaging in New Zealand: Preliminary findings. Health Sponsorship Council, The Quit Group Research Team, 2004. Available from:

www.ndp.govt.nz/moh.nsf/pagescm/910/\$File/influencesmokingpackagingmay2004.pdf.

King B and Borland R. The 'low tar' strategy and the changing construction of Australian cigarettes. Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 2004; 6(1):85–94. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14982692

King W, Carter SM, Borland R, Chapman S, and Gray N. The Australian tar derby: The origins and fate of a low tar harm reduction programme. Tobacco Control, 2003; 12 Suppl 3(suppl. 3):iii61-70. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14645950

Shiffman S, Pillitteri JL, Burton SL, Rohay JM, and Gitchell JG. Effect of health messages about "light" and "ultra light" cigarettes on beliefs and quitting intent. Tobacco Control, 2001; 10(suppl. 1):i24–32. Available from: http://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/10/suppl_1/i24

Shiffman S, Burton SL, Pillitteri JL, Gitchell JG, Di Marino ME, et al. Test of "light" cigarette counteradvertising using a standard test of advertising effectiveness. Tobacco Control, 2001; 10(suppl 1):i33-i40. Available from:

https://tobaccocontrol.bmj.com/content/tobaccocontrol/10/suppl_1/i33.full.pdf

Environics Research Group. Public attitudes toward toxic constituent labelling on cigarette packages. Ottawa: Health Canada, 1996.

Winstanley M, Woodward S, and Walker N, Tobacco in Australia: Facts and issues, 1995. 2nd edn. Carlton South: Victorian Smoking and Health Program; 1995. Available from: http://www.quit.org.au/quit/Fandl/welcome.htm.

John J and Wakeman H. Breakthrough of the high taste, low tar cigarette -- a case history of innovation Bates No 2021566196-2021566216. Richmond, Virginia Philip Morris Research Centre, 1980. Available from: http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tid/pgb68e00/pdf?search=%222021566196%22

12.9.2 Current labelling requirements for tobacco products in Australia

Skaczkowski G, Durkin, S., Kashima, Y. and Wakefield, M. Influence of premium versus value brand names on the smoking experience in a plain packaging environment: An experimental study. BMJ Open, 2017; 7.

Chapman S and Freeman B, Removing the emperor's clothes: Australia and tobacco plain packaging. The University of Sydney, N.S.W.: Sydney University Press, 2014.; 2014.

GfK Blue Moon. Market research to determine effective plain packaging of Tobacco products. Sydney: GfK Blue Moon,, 2011. Available from:

http://www.health.gov.au/internet/publications/publishing.nsf/Content/mr-plainpack-mr-tob-products.

News:

12.9 Labelling of tobacco products in Australia

No authors listed. FDA Proposes New Requirements for Tobacco Product Manufacturing Practices. *US Food and Drug Administration, 2023. March 8, 2023*. Retrieved from https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-proposes-new-requirements-tobacco-product-manufacturing-practices

Hargett R. Florida consumer accuses cigarette makers of deceptive labeling. Legal NewsLine, 2016. Available from: http://legalnewsline.com/stories/510760941-florida-consumer-accuses-cigarette-makers-of-deceptive-labeling

World Health Organization. Tobacco packaging and labelling. 2014. Last update: Viewed Available from:

http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/204198/Fact Sheet TFI 2014 EN 15309.pdf;jses sionid=DF29D028C0252291BB841675606689F6?sequence=1.

Australian Government. Competition and consumer (Tobacco) information standard 2011, 2011: Canberra: Australia. Available from: https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2013C00598.

12.9.1 Labelling of tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields of Australian cigarettes in the past

Virginia Tech. Scientists seek unfiltered truth about 'light' cigarettes. Medical Xpress, 2018. Available from: https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-03-scientists-unfiltered-truth-cigarettes.html

Pieters J. Netherlands withdraws from international cigarette advice committee: Report. NL Times, 2018. Available from: https://nltimes.nl/2018/05/07/netherlands-withdraws-international-cigarette-advice-committee-report

listed Na. Order #59-remand, reinstating the descriptor ban. Tobacco On Trial 2015. Available from: http://www.tobacco-on-trial.com/2015/09/21/order-59-remand-reinstating-the-descriptor-ban-sept-21-2015/

Durkin S and M W. Response of smokers to the Australian competition and consumer commissions' 'lights and milds' corrective advertising campaign., 2006: Melbourne: Centre for Behavioural Research in Cancer, Cancer Council Victoria.

Bates C. Light branding and graphic design - new docs. GLOBALink, UICC, 2000. Last update: Viewed 19 September 2000.

12.9.2 Current labelling requirements for tobacco products in Australia

Australian Government Department of Health. Tobacco plain packaging: Your guide. 2014. Last update: Viewed Available from: https://www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/tobacco-plain-packaging-your-guide 0.pdf

Australian Government. Tobacco plain packaging regulations 2011. Australia Government Federal Register of Legislation, 2011. Last update: Viewed Available from: https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018C00935.